

**REMARKS**

This amendment is submitted in response to the Ex parte Quayle action issued by the Examiner on May 5, 2005. Claims 1, 3, and 17 have been amended to overcome the rejections under 35 USC § 112.

Applicant has reviewed the Examiner's statement of the reasons for allowance and have the following comments. The claims state exactly the scope of the claimed subject matter and individually stand on their own. For example, Claim 18 does not relate to a wireless communication device. Also, several claims do not necessarily relate to saturation by blocker signals. Further, several claims do not require a dynamic range of the sampling circuit to be altered in the presence of a blocker signal to ensure that the baseband signal is detected.

This amendment now places this application in condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly solicited.

Dated this 5<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

By: \_\_\_\_\_



William W. Cochran  
Registration No. 26,652  
Cochran Freund & Young LLC  
2026 Caribou Drive, Suite 201  
Fort Collins, CO 80525

Phone: (970) 492-1100  
Fax: (970) 492-1101  
Customer No.: 27479